## GLOBAL RESEARCH ALLIANCE ON AGRICULTURAL GREENHOUSE GASES

# IRG Annual meeting

# Field scale Network

4-5 February 2019 Cali

Pete Smith, Jean-François Soussana - Network leaders (Fiona Ehrhardt – Scientific officer)









# Field scale network



**Objective:** Assessing (ensembles of) **coupled C-N models** able to simulate **plant-soil-atmosphere interactions** for their applicability and performances at **field scale** in the estimation of **GHG emissions, yield and soil C stock changes** in current and future climate for **arable crops** (rotations), **pastures** and **mixed systems** (to be planned)

- Integration of data from reference sites and simulation models
- Integration of knowledge and development of modeling & robust assessment tools
- Assessment of mitigation and adaptation options

**Network leaders:** P Smith (UK) & JF Soussana (FR) Scientific officer: F Ehrhardt (FR)

**International cooperation** through actions initiated under the *Soil C&N cycling* cross-cutting group of GRA

## Interconnections across activities and programs



# Why such studies?



- Assessing model applicability worldwide
- Improving models
- Testing model ensembles vs. individual models
- Provide robust estimates from a small number of models for a given variable? Or, from fully calibrated individual models?
- Fostering the modeling community to simulate and improve estimations for GHG emissions & soil C sequestration
- Cooperation at the international scale
- Comparing with actual prediction methods (e.g. IPCC methods) and improving inventories



# 1. Model intercomparison for GHG emissions, yield & Soil C stocks estimations

Activity initiated under the Soil C&N cycling cross-cutting group of GRA

- > 50 scientists: modelers, site data providers, statisticians
- 24 models from 11 countries ; 10 contrasted sites from 9 countries /4 continents : 5 grassland sites & 5 arable crop sites in rotation
- Multi-step approach, blind procedure, gradual calibration
- ightarrow Testing model performances against experimental data
- ightarrow Defining reduced model ensembles
- Added value:
  - Contrasted pedo-climatic conditions
  - Integrated models (C & N cycles, soil-plant-atm system)
  - Continuous simulations (no re-initialization each season/year)
  - Crop rotations
  - Comparison of multiple variables
- Highlights:
  - Grain yield: phenology data are key information for accurate estimates
  - Grasslands ANPP: data and model limitations for accurate estimates
  - N<sub>2</sub>O emissions: plausible estimates from stage 1 with regard to range of observations
- Upscaling model estimates: to be tested by use of global databases









5

## GHG model intercomparison - Final Paris, Oct. 27, 2017

### Fiona Ehrhardt et al.

fiona.ehrhardt@inra.fr



### **Global Change Biology**

Assessing uncertainties in crop and pasture ensemble model simulations of productivity and N2O emissions.  $\wedge$ 

DOI:10.1111/gcb.13965

**Publication status** 

Article accepted on 10 October, 2017

## Take home messages

- Grain yields: Significant improvement with phenology data (stage 3)
- Grasslands ANPP : poorly predicted due to data and model limitations
  - Data: methods of measurements (cutting heights, sampling frequencies, nb of replicates)
  - Models: effect of spatial heterogeneity on prod (vegetation, trampling, dung/urine patches); calibration methods in response to grazing offtake; above-ground compartments considered
- N<sub>2</sub>O: good models performances with minimum data (stage 1)
- Reduced model ensemble:
  - Wheat, maize (grain yield and N<sub>2</sub>O): as good as full ensemble
  - Rice (grain yield and N<sub>2</sub>O), grasslands (ANPP): better than full ensemble
- Emissions intensities: significant rank correlation between sim. and obs. across sites, crops and stages

## **Published papers**

#### 2018

The use of biogeochemical models to evaluate mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions from managed grasslandsR Sándor, F Ehrhardt, L Brilli, M Carozzi, S Recous, P Smith, V Snow, ... Science of The Total Environment 642, 292-306

Assessing uncertainties in crop and pasture ensemble model simulations of productivity and N<sub>2</sub>O emissionsF Ehrhardt, JF Soussana, G Bellocchi, P Grace, R McAuliffe, S Recous, ... Global change biology 24 (2), e603-e616

#### 2017

Review and analysis of strengths and weaknesses of agro-ecosystem models for simulating C and N fluxesL Brilli, L Bechini, M Bindi, M Carozzi, D Cavalli, R Conant, CD Dorich, ... Science of the Total Environment 598, 445-470

#### Symposia

C-MIP: an international model inter-comparison simulating organic carbon dynamics in bare fallow soilsR Farina, F Ehrhardt, G Bellocchi, C Chenu, JF Soussana, M Abdalla, ...

6th International Symposium on Soil Organic Matter, np

A multi-model assessment of C cycling and soil C sequestration in grasslands and croplandsR Sandor, F Ehrhardt, B Basso, G Bellocchi, A Bhatia, L Brilli, ...

6th International Symposium on Soil Organic Matter; Harpenden (Royaume Uni), 2

### Grassl ands Soil C seq. Farm to regional scale

# 2. Sensitivity of GHG emissions, yield and soil C stock changes to climate change

Pilot test performed within AgMIP for temperate grasslands

- 16 temperate grasslands from 7 countries over 3 continents
- 10 models: 7 site-calibrated models, 3 global ecosystem models ;
- Using 99 scenarios defined by {Temperature, Precipitation, CO<sub>2</sub>} changes on historical data;
- → Defining main trends in the responses of GHG emissions, soil C and yields to T, P and C changes
- $\rightarrow$  Simplified statistical tools (emulators)
- ightarrow Local, regional and global scales
- → Extension of the exercise to 24 calibrated models on 10 sites (5 grasslands and 5 crop rotations)



$$CO_{2}, T, P) = a + b(T) + c(T)^{2} + d(P) + e(P)^{2} + f(CO_{2}) + g(CO_{2}) + h(T*P) + i(T*CO_{2}) + j(P*CO_{2}) + k(T*P*CO_{2}),$$

From model simulations to a surface response





# 3. Intercomparison of soil models using long term bare fallows

Objective: Compare the ability of models to simulate soil C dynamics, with particular reference to recalcitrant pools, using data from long-term experiments with continuous bare fallow.

- Collaboration with a Long Term Bare Fallow (LTBF) network (Barré et al, 2010)
- **7 sites** without vegetation cover (**no C returns**)
- Periods of **25 to 79 years** of C measurements

Soil C

seq. network

- 14 models including C dynamics already identified to contribute
- 2 modeling steps: blind vs. calibrated models against experimental data
- Initial study in 1997: Smith P, Smith JU, Powlson DS et al. (1997) A comparison of the performance of nine soil organic matter models using datasets from seven long-term experiments: evaluation and comparison of soil organic matter models. Geoderma, 81, 153–225.





# Next steps and perspectives



- No more coordinated activities in 2019, but individual projects continue and forthcoming papers are planned especially on mitigation options and bare fallow models intercomparison
- Note that a number of papers have been published on 4 per 1000 contributing to the soil C network more than to the field network
- Matching policy and science: Rationale for the '4 per 1000-soils for food security and climate'initiativeJF Soussana, S Lutfalla, F Ehrhardt, T Rosenstock, C Lamanna, P Havlík, ...Soil and Tillage Research
- Reducing greenhouse gas emissions in agriculture without compromising food security? S Frank, P Havlík, JF Soussana, A Levesque, H Valin, E Wollenberg, ... Environmental Research Letters 12 (10), 105004

## GLOBAL RESEARCH ALLIANCE ON AGRICULTURAL GREENHOUSE GASES

## Thanks for your attention

### Contacts:

jean-francois.soussana@inra.fr pete.smith@abdn.ac.uk

fiona.ehrhardt@paris.inra.fr

http://globalresearchalliance.org/research/integrative/