**MiLCA Consultation Feedback Form**

Please provide any feedback using this template. Feedback received via any other format or after the March 27 closing date, will not be included in the review process.

Once complete send the template, no later than Wednesday March 27, 2024, to -

[**Consultations@lindsay-consulting.com**](mailto:Consultations@lindsay-consulting.com)

**Guidance:**

1. **Please provide feedback / comments in the appropriate sections in the below table.**
2. **All sections of the table must be completed to enable an effective review process.**
3. **Add more rows as required.**
4. **Please provide a clear explanation in support of feedback and any potential alternative approach that should be considered.**

***Your e-mail address will only be used if a request for further clarification on feedback/comments is required.***

**Name:**

**e-mail address:**

**Main Protocol Feedback**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Page No.** | **Section**  **e.g. 6.5.6** | **Line no.** | **Comment/s** | **Reasoning/alternative approach** |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

**Supplementary Materials Feedback**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Page No.** | **Section**  **e.g. 6.5.6** | **Line no.** | **Comment/s** | **Reasoning/alternative approach** |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

**Specific question feedback from Supplementary Materials**

|  |
| --- |
| **Q1. What is the appropriate value for *p*?**  *Please provide some text in support of your answer to incorporate in the review process.* |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Q2. a. What is the minimum applicable data quality for each data category? b. Are data that are the equivalent of IPCC tier 1 data suitable? c. Are data that have a data quality that is lower than IPCC tier 1 in any data quality category appropriate**? |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Q3. Is locating the data quality adjustment inside the variance term appropriate?**  *Please provide some text in support of your answer to incorporate in the review process* |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Q4. Is the magnitude of the data quality adjustment that occurs for each level of data quality adjustment appropriate (Appendix B of protocol)?**  *Please provide some text in support of your answer to incorporate in the review process.* |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Q5. a. Are the statistical approaches described by the equations in the draft protocol appropriate for their intended purpose? b. Do the equations cover the possible statistical analyses that could be used to analyse experiments to assess the efficacy of a technology**?  *Please provide some text in support of your answer to incorporate in the review process.* |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Q6. a. What is the appropriate number of experiments required to demonstrate efficacy in an emissions reduction technology? b. Do any additional requirements need to be included when using a carbon credit method to demonstrate efficacy?**  *Please provide some text in support of your answer to incorporate in the review process.* |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Q7. Does the draft protocol achieve the purpose of ensuring emissions reductions associated with the implementation of a technology in a diary system are conservative and defensible?**  *Please provide some text in support of your answer to incorporate in the review process.* |
|  |